Poll Res. 42 (1) : 94-104 (2023) Copyright © EM International ISSN 0257–8050 DOI No.: http://doi.org/10.53550/PR.2023.v42i01.015

# HYDROGEOCHEMICAL BEHAVIOUR AND GROUNDWATER SUITABILITY OF VISLON AREA, PART OF WRD WATERSHED, CHANDRAPUR DISTRICT, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

# Y.A. MURKUTE

Postgraduate Department of Geology, Nagpur University, Law College Square, Nagpur 440 001, India

(Received 28 August, 2022; Accepted 14 October, 2022)

### ABSTRACT

An attempt has been done to understand the hydrogeochemical characteristics of groundwater from shallow aquifers of Vislon village, a part of WRD watershed (Lat. 20°06'30"N and Long. 79° 07' 00"E). The appropriateness of groundwater has also been checked for various purposes. The groundwater from study area is alkaline and slightly saline in nature. The  $Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > Na^+ > K^+$ and  $HCO_3^- > SO_4^{-2-} > Cl^- > NO_3^-$  was the ascendancy of cations and anions. The earth metals (Ca + Mg) found to exceed the alkali metals (Na + K). The positive correlation interpreted from interrelationship of Na<sup>+</sup> vs Cl<sup>-</sup> exhibited, silicate weathering process for liberation of ions in groundwater at rock-water interface. In addition the non-lithological source, anthropogenic inputs were also inferred indicating the agricultural fertilizers and domestic wastewaters. All the groundwater samples from the study area are suitable for drinking as well as domestic use. The groundwater from study area is also suitable for irrigation purpose with negligible exceptions.

KEY WORDS: Hydrogeochemical behaviour, Cation and anions, Rock-water interaction, Groundwater suitability, Vislon village, Chandrapur district, Maharashtra

### INTRODUCTION

Water is inevitable constituent for every life, which thrives on the planet earth. The rainfall is the sole source of water, having surface water and groundwater as its two distinct, but complementary parts. Every year, after the spells of monsoon, the groundwater gets replenished beneath the earth surface through infiltration and percolation. This groundwater source, many a times, gets contaminated because of the geogenic contaminants present at the rock-soil interface (Subba Rao 2002; Si et al., 2009; Murkute, 2014). As this interface sets out older and older, the residence time increases and the interaction of water with rock-minerals get prominent, eventually exceeding the contamination levels. A speedy contamination of groundwater sources is generally observed because of its position at shallow aquifers; while the deeper sources are somewhat less susceptible. The groundwater, positioned at deeper depth may also be susceptible

for quick contamination, if the zone of mineralization occurs at that depth.

In addition to geogenic sources, the nonlithological anthropogenic inputs may also deteriorate the quality of groundwater, may be situated at shallow or even deeper depth. The groundwater contamination and its threat to human health has now been a major concern at a global level and hence various studies have been carried out to understand the hydro-geochemical behavior of groundwater (Jalali, 2006; Bharadwaj *et al.*, 2010; Brindha and Elango 2013; Wu *et al.*, 2015; Hirojeet *et al.*, 2015; Thilagavathi *et al.*, 2015; Xu *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2012, 2018; Duraisamy *et al.* 2018; Sreedevi *et al.*, 2018; Adimalla and Qian 2019; Singh *et al.*, 2019; Wang *et al.*, 2019; Eyankware *et al.*, 2020).

In the present paper an attempt has been made to understand the rock-water interaction at the shallow aquifer depths, since there was paucity of the database information on geochemical behavior of groundwater from this area. The study area lies on western part of watershed, at the tip of southwestern boundary of Chandrapur district, Maharashtra, covering 14 villages. This attempt will also assist to understand the suitability of groundwater quality for various purposes.

# STUDY AREA DETAILS

The 14 villages sprawled over the Bhadravati Tehsil (Lat. 20°06'30"N and Long. 79° 07' 00"E), Chandrapur District, Maharashtra (Fig. 1) constitute the study area. The area sustains the tropical dry sub-humid climate. The temperature variation ranges from 44°C in summer to 11°C in winter seasons. The study area receives the average rainfall of 1420 mm with 70% humidity of during monsoon season. The prominent wind direction is from South to North. In summer, the wind direction is from East to South and in monsoon from South to East. During winter (October-January), the wind direction changes from North to East.



Fig. 1. Location and geological map of study area

The deep pediplain geomorphological unit is observable in the western direction of study area, while low dissected hills are noticeable near Vislon. The slope-category of the study area ranges from 15<sup>o</sup> to 3<sup>o</sup> towards north. The Dahiya River meets into the Wardha River, which drains the study area from southwestern boundary.

# GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The oldest rocks in the study area belong to limestone-shale alterations of Penganaga Group that covers south central part of the study area. The Gondwana Supergroup, comprising Talchir, Barakar and Kamthi sediments, exhibits the nonconformable contact with the underlying Penganaga sequences, covers north central part of the study area. On the western direction, the Deccan basaltic flows, which are thick, tabular and sheet like in form, show the large areal extent. The local and patchy alluvium deposits are also noted in the river beds of study area.

The wells pierced in Penganga limestones have the groundwater discharge of 50 to 300 m<sup>3</sup>/ day; these wells generally have depth between 7 to 18 meters below ground level (mbgl) and diameters ranging from 2.5 to 5.5 m (GSDA, 2009; 2015). The Gondwana formations have enhanced capacities of groundwater discharge in tune of 100 to 350 m<sup>3</sup>/ day to the dug wells. These wells have normal diameter up to 5m and depth ranges from 10 to 15 mbgl (GSDA, 2009; 2015). The wells penetrated in Deccan basaltic disposing deep weathering as well as well developed joints have depth between 5 to 15 mbgl with diameters from 4 to 5.5 m, and have the yield from 75 to 100 m<sup>3</sup>/ day (GSDA, 2009; 2015).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

25 groundwater samples were collected in polyethylene bottles of 1000 ml capacity from villages of PG1 watershed. The guiding principles of WHO (2011) and BIS (1991) were followed in the standard analytical procedures (Table 1). The customary measures prescribed in American Public Health Association (APHA, 2005) were followed for the various laboratory analyses. The Gibbs variation diagrams (1970) and the Piper trilinear diagram (1953) were depicted to understand the mechanism of rock-water interaction. The charge balance error (CBE %) was rigorously performed after every five samples to retain the known customary standard (CBE <5%; CBE% = [ $\Sigma$  cation -  $\Sigma$  anion] / [ $\Sigma$  cation +  $\Sigma$  anion]) x 100).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Physical properties and ion concentrations

The temperature recorded in the field from each groundwater samples range from 213 to 27 °C. The groundwater is dominantly alkaline in nature, showing pH value varying from 7.2 to 8.4 (Table 1). The electrical conductivity (EC) values grade from 723.8 - 1756.4 is/cm, while the total dissolved solids

Table	1. Analytical d	ata of cati	ions ar	nd anion	s with p	hysical	and cor	nputed	parame	eters froi	m study	y area							
Sr. No	Village	Sample No	Hq	EC	TDS	TA	TH	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	$\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$	$\mathrm{Na}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	HCO <sub>3</sub> -	CI-	$\mathrm{SO}_4^{2-}$	NO <sub>3</sub> -	CA-I	CA-II	Gibbs Cations	Gibbs Anions
1	Nanduri Kh	DW1	7.6	723.8	463.2	350	473.3	82.4	65.2	.21.3	1.2	312.7	159.3	174.5	102.4	0.87	0.24	0.21	0.34
7	Nanduri B.	DW2	7.5	724.6	463.7	223	480.6	84.5	65.7	24.5	3.2	301.4	162.5	178.5	105.6	0.87	0.24	0.25	0.35
ю	Bhatali 1	DW3	7.6	1625.8	1040.5	311	553.4	125.6	58.4	55.6	5.4	258.6	212.5	182.4	89.3	0.76	0.31	0.33	0.45
4	Bhatali 2	DW4	7.6	1624.7	1039.8	387	558.2	126.7	58.9	51.7	22.6	288.4	231.4	186.3	87.5	0.87	0.36	0.37	0.45
ß	Dongargaon	DW5	7.9	1534.7	982.2	254	477.0	98.3	56.4	58.1	21.7	211.6	278.6	212.3	45.6	0.87	0.52	0.45	0.57
9	Dhanoli	DW6	7.8	1189.7	761.4	443	383.3	100.2	32.4	62.5	18.3	212.4	288.7	215.6	43.2	0.85	0.52	0.45	0.58
	Vislon 1	DW7	7.8	1278.6	818.3	369	368.6	78.4	42.1	78.4	12.4	258.7	267.2	265.6	56.7	0.75	0.35	0.54	0.51
8	Vislon 2	DW8	7.5	1256.4	804.1	567	386.6	81.5	44.6	75.2	6.6	269.1	268.1	269.7	61.2	0.74	0.33	0.50	0.50
6	Vislon 3	DW9	7.5	1264.3	809.2	429	374.6	79.8	42.7	48.6	7.1	257.3	271.5	201.2	56.8	0.85	0.45	0.41	0.51
10	Majri Kh	DW10	7.2	1662.8	1064.2	233	545.4	71.2	89.6	98.3	2.3	288.1	245.7	203.6	71.3	0.61	0.27	0.59	0.46
11	Majri Bz	DW11	7.2	1664.5	1065.3	342	547.0	75.3	87.5	99.4	5.6	302.4	251.2	235.4	89.4	0.63	0.25	0.58	0.45
12	Palasgaon	DW12	7.4	1547.2	990.2	167	809.3	139.4	112.4	92.5	8.7	445.6	223.4	235.6	142.6	0.62	0.17	0.42	0.33
13	Palasgaon	DW13	7.5	1634.8	1046.3	289	859.1	139.8	124.3	94.3	4.5	468.2	234.8	246.7	145.3	0.62	0.17	0.41	0.33
14	Kachrala 1	DW14	7.9	1756.4	1124.1	279	655.0	123.4	84.5	67.3	13.2	423.8	269.3	258.1	123.8	0.80	0.27	0.39	0.39
15	Kachrala 2	DW15	7.5	1452.6	929.7	326	669.0	126.4	86.1	58.6	12.7	421.4	267.4	302.1	125.4	0.83	0.26	0.36	0.39
16	Morwa 1	DW16	7.4	1245.8	797.3	233	460.6	125.7	35.7	102.7	10.7	212.5	223.4	304.7	48.3	0.59	0.23	0.47	0.51
17	Morwa 2	DW17	7.2	1025.7	656.4	212	480.7	132.6	36.4	104.3	11.3	213.6	231.6	256.4	51.2	0.60	0.27	0.47	0.52
18	Vichoda	DW18	7.2	1028.9	658.5	233	644.8	89.5	102.7	68.2	5.5	325.7	269.8	263.1	78.4	0.77	0.31	0.45	0.45
19	Tervanja	DW19	7.7	1345.6	861.2	227	631.4	91.2	98.4	124.6	5.4	257.1	271.2	305.1	82.4	0.56	0.24	0.59	0.51
20	Chargaon 1	DW20	7.3	1365.4	873.9	231	557.3	94.5	78.3	67.3	6.8	266.9	255.3	311.6	123.7	0.76	0.28	0.44	0.49
21	Chargaon 2	DW21	7.4	1545.6	989.2	234	565.5	96.3	79.2	68.7	7.2	309.4	246.5	312.7	135.9	0.75	0.24	0.44	0.44
22	Saywan 1	DW22	7.5	1555.2	995.3	356	433.4	89.4	51.2	46.8	15.2	267.3	233.5	315.4	123.2	0.86	0.29	0.41	0.47
23	Saywan 2	DW23	7.8	1028.4	658.2	351	419.5	88.6	48.3	51.2	14.7	267.8	235.6	178.6	118.9	0.85	0.35	0.43	0.47
24	Deulwada 1	DW24	7.5	1031.8	660.4	322	299.7	60.2	36.4	66.2	12.4	245.1	212.4	179.2	89.3	0.75	0.31	0.57	0.46
25	Deulwada 2	DW25	7.2	1235.7	790.8	323	299.4	62.7	34.8	67.7	9.7	247.3	214.6	183.2	92.4	0.73	0.30	0.55	0.46
		Min	7.2	723.8	463.2	167	368.6	71.20	32.40	21.30	1.20	211.60	159.30	174.50	43.20	0.59	0.17	0.21	0.33
		Max	7.9	1756.4	1124.1	567	859.1	139.80	124.30	104.30	22.60	468.20	288.70	304.70	145.30	0.87	0.52	0.59	0.58
		Avg	7.5	1365.4	873.9	308	534.2	105.36	66.05	70.19	9.85	302.69	240.39	231.10	85.04	0.75	0.30	0.42	0.45
		SD	0.2	318.2	203.7	60	143.8	25.24	27.40	26.08	6.45	85.40	37.20	41.37	34.46	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.08
		CV	2.8	23.3	23.3	29	26.9	23.95	41.48	37.15	65.43	28.21	15.48	17.90	40.53	15.08	34.40	24.19	18.09
Gibb:	S Cations: (Na+1	K)/ (Na+j	K+Ca)	, Gibbs A	Anions: (	(CI/(CI	+HCO <sub>3</sub> )	), cation	ı and an	uion valu	les are	presente	ed in m	3/1.					

96

MURKUTE

(TDS) have clustering values between 292.4 to 2184.8 mg/l. As per US Geological Surveys (2000) if TDS values grade up to 1000 mg/l then the water is referred to as fresh water; between 1000 to 3000 mg/l is slightly saline water. This classification brings out that 68% of the groundwater samples are fresh while rests of the sample are slightly saline in nature. Average of total alkalinity (TA) of samples is 308 mg/l; while total hardness (TH) values range from 368.6 to 859.1 mg/l. The Table 1 also exhibits that in 67% of samples TH values are more than TA values; which indicates existence of noncarbonated hardness that cannot be removed easily (Chow, 1964).

The dominance sequence of the cations for groundwater samples from the study area clearly exhibits that  $Ca^{2+}$  (values range between 71.2 to 139.8 mg/l) predominates over the other cations  $Mg^{2+} > Na^+ > K^+$ . The  $Mg^{2+}$  values from the samples vary from 32.4 to 124.3 mg/l. Though the  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration is dominant amongst the cations in 12% samples,  $Mg^{2+}$  values exceeds it. The Na<sup>+</sup> content ranges between 21.3 to 104.3 mg/l and K<sup>+</sup> values fluctuates between 1.2 to 22.6 mg/l.

The geogenic processes are most of the time responsible for the calcium enrichment in the groundwater, however loss of carbon dioxide, ion exchange processes as well as calcium precipitation at aquifer interface also causes the variation of calcium content in groundwater (Karanth, 1987; Jain et al., 2010; Ahada and Suthar, 2018). Similarly, the leaching of magnesium bearing minerals and ion exchange processes, at the rock-water interface is liable for the behavioral change in magnesium content (Thivya et al., 2018). The sodium and potassium concentration within the permissible limits corresponds to the geogenic interface while the increase in their concentration beyond the permissible limits as prescribed by WHO (1997) and BIS (2003), certainly reflects the human interventions and may be a threat to human body (Mor et al., 2006).

The dominance sequence shows that  $HCO_3^$ predominates (112.6 – 468.2) over the >  $SO_4^{2-}$  >  $Cl^-$  >  $NO_3^-$  anions. The  $SO_4^{2-}$  content exhibits large variation in values, which varies from 174.5 to 304.7 mg/l. Though, the higher concentration of  $HCO_3^$ primarily corresponds to the geogenic contamination, the elevated values of  $SO_4^{2-}$  content certainly divulges anthropogenic contamination through the oxidation of supplementary sulphiderich minerals supplied in fertilizers (Min *et al.*, 2003; Chae *et al.*, 2004). The Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration varies between 159.3 to 304.7 mg/l and 36% of samples exceed the concentration than the prescribed limit of 250 mg/l (WHO, 2011; BIS, 2012). This excess of Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration is an indicator of groundwater contamination (Loizidou and Kapetanios, 1993). The average  $NO_3^-$  content in groundwater is 85.4 mg/l and 56% of the samples have its concentration more than the prescribed limit of 45 mg/l (BIS, 2012).

# Hydrogeochemical facies

Piper's trilinear diag ram (Piper, 1953) is a portrayal of cations and anions that divulges the various combinations of water types. The Piper's trilinear diagram, prepared for the present study (Fig.2), reveals that the 42% of earth metals (Ca + Mg) exceeded the alkali metals (Na + K). Conversely, 14%, alkalis (Na + K) were also found to also exceed the earths (Ca + Mg). The weak acid (CO<sub>3</sub> + HCO<sub>3</sub>) (45%) surpassed the combination of strong acids (SO<sub>4</sub>+Cl). In addition, 27% of mixed sectional (Ca - Mg - Cl and Ca - Na - HCO<sub>3</sub>) and 40% of Combinational hydrochemical facies (Ca - Mg - HCO<sub>3</sub> - Cl and Ca - Na - HCO<sub>3</sub> - Cl) have been noticed.



Fig. 2. Piper trilinear diagram for groundwater samples of study area

#### **Rock-water interaction**

The cations and anions have distinct behavior at rock-water interface where certain reactions take place. In the present investigation, when the data points of  $HCO_3^-$  and  $Ca^{++}$  are plotted in terms of scatter diagram (Fig. 3a); a positive correlation ( $r^2 = 0.2375$ ) has been observed; similarly, the scatter diagram of Na<sup>+</sup> vs Cl<sup>-</sup> (Fig. 3b) also exhibits positive correlation ( $r^2 = 0.1537$ ), which divulges the reaction

of silicate weathering, liberating calcium and bicarbonate in groundwater at rock-water interface (Lakshmanan et al., 2003). The minerals, namely feldspars, pyroxenes and amphiboles from igneous and metamorphic rocks, while the calcite and clay minerals from sedimentary rocks are the primary sources of Ca<sup>2+</sup> in groundwater samples (Todd, 1995; Murkute and Badhan, 2011). The points above the equiline in scatter diagram of Na<sup>+</sup> vs Cl<sup>-</sup> also suggest interventions by human activities (domestic waste, animal waste, septic tanks, etc) in groundwater domain (Murkute and Badhan, 2011). In the Ca<sup>2+</sup>+  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  +  $HCO_3^{-}$  interrelationship diagram of (Fig. 3c) all points fall above the equiline  $(r^2 =$ 0.6241), in addition the dominance of  $SO_4^{2-} + HCO_3^{-}$ suggests silicate weathering process for solute generation (Ramesh and Elango, 2011).

The cations like Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> anions are released in groundwater regime after irrigation return flow (Karanth, 1987). The negative correlation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contents in a scatter diagram clearly reveals anthropogenic interventions. Contrary, in the present investigation the scatter diagram of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contents (Fig. 3d) points out positive correlation (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.4567), which suggests the different sources for release of these ions, where in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is liberated due to anthropogenic input while lithological inputs are attributed to derivation of  $HCO_3^-$  in groundwater (Subba Rao and Chaudhary, 2019).

# Hydrogeochemistry Controlling Mechanism

The various above mentioned interrelationship diagrams, evidently elucidates the liberation of a range of cations and anions at rock water interface. Hence, all such progressions worked out at rock water interface are referred to as rock dominance (Gibbs, 1970). In addition, the precipitation and evaporation are other two processes, which are also accountable for the discharge of various cations and anions. The Gibb's diagrams, wherein the plotting of TDS against both, the dominant cations [(Na+K) / (Na+K+Ca)] (Fig. 4A) as well as leading anions [(Cl/Cl+HCO<sub>2</sub>)] (Fig. 4B) is carried out to substantiate the hydrogeochemical controlling mechanism of dissolved cations and anions with the precipitation dominance, rock dominance and evaporation dominance (Gibbs, 1970). The Gibbs diagrams plotted for the groundwater samples from study area clearly point out the rock dominance as the main hydrogeochemical controlling mechanism, also influenced by some of the anthropogenic activities (Gibbs, 1970; Ravikumar et al., 2010).

#### Hydrogeochemical Correlation

The correlation matrix has been computed for pH,



**Fig. 3.** Inter-ionic relationship between ions. **a**) interrelationship of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, **b**) scatter diagram of Na<sup>+</sup> vs Cl<sup>-</sup> and **c**) interrelationship diagram of Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Mg<sup>2+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2+</sup> + HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, **d**) scatter diagram of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> vs HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.



Fig. 4. Gibbs diagram. A) TDS with [(Na+K) / (Na+K+Ca)], B) TDS with [(Cl/Cl+HCO<sub>2</sub>)]

EC, TDS, TH, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> (Table 2). The positive correlation between TDS with TH (r = 0.68),  $Ca^{2+}(r = 0.75)$ ,  $Na^{+}(r = 0.72)$ ,  $NO_{3}^{-}(r = 0.77)$ ,  $SO_{4}^{2-}(r = 0.71)$  and  $Cl^{-}(r = 0.76)$ suggests the association of hydrochemical processes responsible at rock-water interaction, concomitantly with anthropogenic interventions (Tay et al., 2017, Murkute, 2022). The correlation matrix also point out low correlation between K<sup>+</sup> with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (r = 0.27) and  $SO_4^{2-}$  (r = 0.31) suggesting the non-lithological source, indicating the agricultural fertilizers and domestic wastewaters (Chacha et al., 2018). Na<sup>+</sup> has strong positive correlation with both  $Cl^{-}$  (r = 0.86) and  $SO_4^{2-}$  (r = 0.76), which is indicative of presence of pollution in groundwater of the study area (Barzegar et al., 2017). The HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> having negative correlation with  $NO_3^-$  (r = - 0.22) also points out nongeogenic source for  $NO_3^-$  content (Wu and Sun, 2016).

### **Groundwater Suitability**

# Drinking and Domestic Use

The groundwater suitability has been checked with desirable and permissible limits suggested by WHO (2011) and BIS (2012) (Table 3). The WHO (2011) has suggested the permissible limit of 1500 mg/l for EC, which is also a measure of salinity hazard. 64% of the groundwater samples from the study area have EC values less than the prescribed permissible limit indicating their suitability for drinking purpose (Table 3). Remaining 36% of samples exceed this limit, and point out towards the measures to be

 Table 2. Correlation matrix of hydrochemical parameters from study area

					-							
	pН	EC	TDS	TH	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	$K^{+}$	NO <sub>3</sub> -	HCO <sub>3</sub> -	SO4 -	Cl
pН	1.00											
EC	-0.21	1.00										
TDS	-0.44	1.00	1.00									
TH	-0.18	0.75	0.68	1.00								
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	-0.33	0.68	0.75	0.91	1.00							
$Mg^{2+}$	-0.31	0.41	0.39	0.91	0.67	1.00						
Na⁺	-0.21	0.71	0.72	-0.34	0.41	0.24	1.00					
$K^+$	-0.28	0.42	0.75	-0.38	0.39	0.34	0.45	1.00				
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.29	0.70	0.77	-0.20	0.68	0.38	0.52	0.27	1.00			
HCO,	0.68	0.29	0.36	-0.31	0.26	-0.25	0.41	0.48	-0.22	1.00		
SO, <sup>2-°</sup>	-0.18	0.71	0.72	0.85	0.79	0.52	0.76	0.31	0.34	0.75	1.00	
Cl <sup>+</sup>	-0.48	0.76	0.76	0.34	0.66	0.31	0.86	0.51	0.74	0.33	0.48	1.00

	0			*					
Parameter	Min	Average	Max	WHO	D (2011)	BIS (2003	) IS: 10500	SD	CV
		0		Desirable	Permissible	Desirable	Permissible		
				(DL)	(PL)	(DL)	(PL)		
pН	7.7	8.0	8.4	7.0-8.5	6.5-9.2	6.5-8.5	8.5-9.2	0.2	3.0
EC	456.8	1248.3	3413.7	750	1500	-	-	942.7	75.5
TDS	292.4	798.9	2184.8	500	1500	500	2000	603.4	75.5
TA	167.0	318.5	567.0	100	500	200	600	100.9	31.7
TH	237.5	377.6	517.3	100	500	300	600	93.9	24.9
Ca++	38.6	65.3	98.7	75	200	75	200	15.4	23.6
Mg <sup>++</sup>	19.2	52.3	86.3	30	150	30	100	18.9	36.1
Na <sup>+</sup>	14.9	59.1	215.6	50	200	-	-	63.7	107.7
K <sup>+</sup>	1.1	10.6	103.4	100	200	-	-	25.9	245.1
HCO <sub>3</sub>	163.2	305.3	549.2	200	600	200	600	97.3	31.9
Cl <sup>-</sup>	21.5	137.8	440.3	250	600	250	1000	146.1	106.0
$SO_4^-$	1.3	45.5	170.9	200	600	200	400	44.5	97.7
NO <sub>3</sub> -	1.1	17.6	56.8	-	50	45	100	17.8	101.1

Table 3. Range of cations and anions with desirable and permissible limits

Cation and anion values are presented in mg/l. SD – standard deviation, CV – covariance.

taken before drinking the water. The BIS (1991) has the permissible limit of 2000 mg/l for TDS; considering this as upper limit, all the groundwater samples are suitable for drinking purpose. Generally, the concentration of TH content is used as the parameter to decide the utility of groundwater for domestic use (Karanth, 1987; Todd, 1995). The BIS (2012) has suggested the permissible limit of 600 mg/l for TH, hence all the groundwater samples from study area can be used for domestic purpose without hesitation.

### **Irrigation Use**

The irrigation suitability of groundwater samples was ensured through the parameters, evolved through the mathematical equations (1 to 8), and the computational results are presented in Table 4. Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR); SAR =  $Na^+/\sqrt{}$  $[(Ca^{2+}+Mg^{2+})/2]$ .. (1) Percent Sodium (%Na); % Na = Na<sup>+</sup> + K<sup>+</sup>/ (Ca<sup>2+</sup> +  $Mg^{2+} + Na^{+} + K^{+} x 100$ .. (2) Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC); RSC =  $(HCO_2^{-} +$  $CO_{2^{2-}} - (Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})$ .. (3) Residual Sodium Bicarbonate (RSBC); RSBC =  $HCO_{2}^{-} - Ca^{2+}$ .. (4) Soluble Sodium Percentage (SSP); SSP =  $[(Na^++K^+)/$ (Ca<sup>2+</sup>+Mg<sup>2+</sup>+Na<sup>+</sup>+K<sup>+</sup>)] x100 .. (5) Corrosivity Ratio (CR); CR =  $[(Cl^2 / 35.5) + 2(SO_4^{2-} / 35.5)]$ 96)] / 2 (HCO<sub>3</sub> - + CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> /100) .. (6) Kelley's Ratio (KR); KR =  $Na^+/(Ca^{2+}+Mg^{2+})$ .. (7)

Synthetic Harmful Coefficient (K); K = 12.4TDS+SAR ...(8) SAR: it involves the soil permeability in respect to cations. The SAR values from the study area having the range from 0.4 - 1.4 meq/l infer excellent quality of groundwater for irrigation purpose. The US Salinity Laboratory's diagram (US Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954) uses SAR values and compares against the salinity hazard (Fig. 5). The plots of the groundwater samples have been noted to cluster in  $C_3$ - $S_2$  (41%) and  $C_3$ - $S_1$ (45%) types, except two plots. The  $C_3$ - $S_2$  type represents the high salinity - medium sodium type, while  $C_3$ - $S_1$  type represents the medium salinity - medium sodium characters. These two categories reveal that the groundwater



Fig. 5. US Salinity diagram for groundwater samples from PG2 watershed

Sr.No	Village	Sample No	SAR	% Na	RSC	RSBC	SSP	CR	KR	Κ
1	Nanduri Kh	DW1	1.7	40.6	0.8	0.2	35.9	0.5	0.5	3.2
2	Nanduri B.	DW2	1.7	33.6	0.8	-0.2	35.6	0.5	0.5	3.7
3	Bhatali 1	DW3	0.4	10.3	-0.2	-8.7	9.2	0.6	0.1	8.7
4	Bhatali 2	DW4	0.5	15.7	0.7	-1.8	10.7	0.4	0.1	7.6
5	Dongargaon	DW5	1.0	23.5	0.2	-12.2	18.9	0.5	0.2	8.1
6	Dhanoli	DW6	1.0	67.2	0.4	-10.9	21.0	0.5	0.2	8.7
7	Vislon 1	DW7	1.2	65.3	0.1	-9.1	25.5	0.7	0.3	6.8
8	Vislon 2	DW8	1.4	55.0	0.4	-9.4	30.6	0.7	0.4	4.4
9	Vislon 3	DW9	1.8	42.1	0.7	-2.7	34.5	0.7	0.5	3.7
10	Majri Kh	DW10	1.7	27.1	0.7	-2.8	31.2	0.6	0.4	3.9
11	Majri Bz	DW11	1.1	25.9	0.7	-3.1	23.8	0.6	0.3	5.9
12	Palasgaon	DW12	1.8	21.8	0.4	0.2	28.5	0.5	0.4	4.7
13	Palasgaon	DW13	1.8	30.2	0.5	0.1	29.2	0.5	0.4	4.6
14	Kachrala 1	DW14	1.4	39.6	0.8	-5.6	21.0	0.4	0.2	5.6
15	Kachrala 2	DW15	1.4	30.0	0.8	-4.5	19.8	0.4	0.2	6.0
16	Morwa 1	DW16	1.1	46.6	1.0	-3.5	20.3	0.5	0.2	7.9
17	Morwa 2	DW17	1.0	44.7	1.0	-4.2	18.0	0.5	0.2	7.6
18	Vichoda	DW18	2.1	39.3	0.2	-14.5	34.6	0.8	0.5	3.1
19	Tervanja	DW19	2.1	41.0	0.1	-15.8	34.0	0.7	0.5	2.5
20	Chargaon 1	DW20	1.2	28.5	0.4	-1.6	19.5	0.6	0.2	4.5
21	Chargaon 2	DW21	2.2	32.8	0.0	-5.4	30.7	0.7	0.4	3.2
22	Saywan 1	DW22	1.2	29.7	0.3	-5.6	22.0	0.7	0.3	5.6
23	Saywan 2	DW23	1.3	30.9	0.5	-3.8	22.1	0.6	0.3	6.3
24	Deulwada 1	DW24	1.0	47.2	0.6	-4.5	22.6	0.7	0.2	8.1
25	Deulwada 2	DW25	1.1	46.1	0.6	-4.3	24.4	0.5	0.3	4.8

Table 4. Irrigation suitability indices for groundwater of study area

from study area may pose very slight threat of exchangeable sodium in soil through the water, but even then be utilized for irrigation purpose.

%Na: The %Na in elevated concentration in water causes the obliterating of inner drainage and hence such water is not appropriate for irrigation for longer duration (Simsek and Gunduz, 2007; Murkute, 2014; Chacha *et al.*, 2018). Almost all values of %Na in study area are less than 40 meq/l (except two samples), suggesting their suitability for irrigation purpose.

RSC: The RSC values exceeding the 2.5 meq/l indicates of its harmful nature to the growth of plants. Generally, RSC values are categorized as: RSC < 1.25, as good; 1.25 to 2.5 as doubtful and > 2.5 is unsuitable. As per this scheme all the samples are good in nature, hence can be utilized for irrigation.

RSBC: The high RSC content in water pose deposition of carbonate in soil and deteriorate its fertility (Agoubi *et al.*, 2011). RSBC value above than 10meq/l is unsuitable for irrigation. All the samples from the study area are hence suitable for irrigation (Table 4).

SSP: The higher SSP values lowers down the soil permeability. The SSP values should be less than 50

meq/l. The groundwater samples of the study area have SSP values less than 50 meq/l, hence suitable for irrigation purpose.

CR: The water with CR values < 1 is suitable for irrigation without threat of corrosiveness; hence, all the groundwater samples from study area are suitable for irrigation purpose (Table 4) and water can be transported to longer distances for irrigation activity.

KR: The water with KR values < 1 shows the suitability; hence the groundwater from the study area is suitable for irrigation purpose.

*K*: The high *K* value evaluated for irrigation-use of water represents high salt presence and alkali hazards (Xu *et al.*, 2018; Zhou *et al.*, 2020). The *K* value exceeding 36meq/l corresponds that water is not suitable for irrigation purpose. The maximum *K* value obtained for groundwater samples from study area is 8.7 meq/l, suggesting suitability of water towards irrigation use (Table 4).

### **CONCLUSION**

The present study was to understand the hydrogeochemical behaviour and to evaluate the

suitability of groundwater from shallow aquifers around Vislon village. Based upon the various investigations carried out, the conclusions made are as follows:

- i) The maximum electrical conductivity (EC) and TDS values are 1756.4 and 1124.1 mg/l respectively, which suggest that that 67% of groundwater samples from study area are fresh while rests of the sample are slightly saline in nature. The dominance sequence of cations and anions for groundwater samples from the study area is  $Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > Na^+ > K^+$  and  $HCO_3^- > SO_4^{-2-} > Cl^- > NO_3^-$  respectively.
- ii) The trilinear diagram prepared for the present study, reveals that the earth metals (Ca + Mg) exceeded the alkali metals (Na + K); however in some cases, alkalis (Na + K) also exceeded the earths (Ca + Mg). 35% of mixed sectional water types (Ca Mg Cl and Ca Na HCO<sub>3</sub>) and 51% of combinational hydrochemical facies (Ca Mg HCO<sub>3</sub> Cl and Ca Na HCO<sub>3</sub> Cl) have been noticed from study area.
- iii) The interrelationship of Na<sup>+</sup> vs Cl<sup>-</sup> exhibits positive correlation, divulging the reaction of silicate weathering, allowing the liberation of calcium and bicarbonate ions in groundwater at rock-water interface. In the Ca<sup>2+</sup> + Mg<sup>2+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> + HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> interrelationship diagram, all the points fall above the equiline, suggesting the dominance of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> + HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and therefore indicate silicate weathering process for solute generation. The positive correlation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> suggests the different sources for release of these ions, where in NO3<sup>-</sup> is liberated due to anthropogenic input while lithological inputs are attributed to derivation of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in groundwater.
- iv) The Gibbs diagrams far study area evidently point out the rock dominance as the main hydrogeochemical controlling mechanism along with some inputs of anthropogenic activities observed through presence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The correlation matrix is showing low correlation between K<sup>+</sup> with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> suggesting the non-lithological source, indicating the agricultural fertilizers and domestic wastewaters. While, Na<sup>+</sup> has strong positive correlation with both Cl<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, which is also indicative of presence of pollution in groundwater of the study area, may be nongeogenic source.
- v) All the groundwater samples from the study

area have EC values less than the prescribed permissible limit indicating their suitability for drinking purpose. The suitable TH, values indicate that groundwater samples from study area are appropriate for the domestic purpose without hesitation. The 8 parameters were involved in present study for inferring the suitability of groundwater for irrigation purpose are: SAR, %Na, RSC, RSBC, SSP, CR, KR, K. the values computed for these parameters clearly point out that groundwater from study area is also suitable for irrigation purpose with negligible exceptions.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author extends special thanks to Dr. A.P. Dharashivkar and Dr. V.V. Solanki, Groundwater Survey and Development Agency (GSDA) for their technical support during field work and valuable suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- Adimalla, N. and Qian, H. 2019. Groundwater quality evaluation using water quality index (WQI) for drinking purposes and human health risk (HHR) assessment in an agricultural region of Nanganur, south India. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety.* 176 : 153-161.
- Agoubi, B., Kharroubi, A. and Abida, H. 2011. Hydrochemistry of groundwater and its assessment for irrigation purpose in coastal Jeffara aquifer, southeastern Tunisia. *Arab J Geosci* v.6 : 1163-1172.
- Ahada, C.P.S. and Suthar, S. 2018. Assessing groundwater hydrochemistry of Malwa Punjab, India. *Arab J Geosci.* 11 : 17.
- APHA, 2005. WPCF, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/Water Environment Federation, Washington DC.
- Barzegar, R., Moghaddam, A.A., Tziritis, E., Fakhri, M.S. and Soltani, S. 2017. Identification of hydrogeochemical processes and pollution sources of groundwater resources in the Marand Plain, northwest of Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 76 : 297.
- Bhardwaj, V., Singh, D.S. and Singh, A.K. 2010. Water quality of the Chhoti Gandak River using principal component analysis, Ganga plain. *Jour. Earth Syst. Sci.* 119(1) : 117-127.
- BIS (1991) Bureau of Indian standard specification for drinking water. IS:10500, Indian Standard Institute

1-5.

- BIS, 2003. Indian standard drinking water specifications.
   IS: 10500, Edition 2.2 (2003-2009). Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- BIS, 2012. Indian standard drinking water specifications. IS: 10500, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- Brindha, K. and Elango, L. 2013. Geochemistry of fluoride rich groundwater in a weathered granitic rock region, Southern India. *Water Qua Expo and Health.* 5(3):127-138.
- Chacha, N., Njau, K.N., Lugomela, G.V. and Muzuka, A.N.N. 2018. Hydrogeochemical characteristics and spatial distribution of groundwater quality in Arusha well fields, northern Tanzania. *Appl Water Sci.* 8 : 1-23.
- Chae, G.T., Kim, K., Yun, S.T., Kim, K.H., Kim, S.O., Choi, B.Y., Kim, H.S. and Rhee, C.W. 2004. Hydrogeochemistry of alluvial groundwaters in an agricultural area, an implication for groundwater contamination susceptibility. *Chemosph.* 55: 369-378.
- Chow, V. T. 1964. *Handbook Of Applied Hydrology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Duraisamy, S., Govindhaswamy, V., Duraisamy, K., Krishinaraj, S., Balasubramanian, A. and Thirumalaisamy, S. 2018. Hydrogeochemical characterization and evaluation of groundwater quality in Kangayam taluk, Tirupur district, Tamil Nadu, India, using GIS tech. *Environ Geochem Health.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-018-0183-z.
- Eyankware, M.O., Aleke, C.G., Selemo, A.O.I. and Nnabo, P.N. 2020. Groundwater for sustainable development hydrogeochemical studies and suitability assessment of groundwater quality for irrigation at Warri and environs, Niger delta basin, Nigeria. *Groundw Sustain Dev.* 10:100293.
- Gibbs, R.J. 1970. Mechanism controlling world water chemistry. *Science*. 17 : 1088-1090.
- GSDA, 2009. Dynamic Groundwater Resources of Maharashtra Detailed Report (as on 2007-08). Groundwater Surveys and Development Agency, Water Supply and Sanitation Department, Government of Maharashtra and Central Ground Water Board, Central Region, Nagpur, 228p.
- GSDA, 2015. Report on Dynamic Groundwater Resources of Maharashtra Detailed Report (as on 2007-08). Groundwater Surveys and Development Agency, Water Supply and Sanitation Department, Government of Maharashtra and Central Ground Water Board, Central Region, Nagpur, 732p.
- Herojeet, R., Rishi, M.S. and Kishore, N. 2015. Integrated approach of heavy metal pollution indices and complexity quantification using chemometric models in the Sirsa Basin, Nalagarh valley, Himachal Pradesh, India. *Chin J Geochem.* 34 : 620-633.
- Jain, C. K., Bandyopadhyay, A. and Bhadra, A. 2010.

Assessment of ground water quality for drinking purpose, District Nainital, Uttarakhand, India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.* 166 : 663-676.

- Jalali, M. 2009. Geochemistry charecterization of groundwater in an agriculture area of Razan, Hamadan, Iran. *Environ. Geol.* 56 : 1479-1488.
- Karanth, K.R. 1987. *Groundwater Assessment Development and Management*. Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Ltd. New Delhi, 468 p.
- Lakshmanan, E., Kannan, R. and Senthilkumar, M. 2003. Major ion chemistry and identification of hydrogeochemical processes of groundwater in a part of Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu, India. *Environ. Geosci.* 10(4): 157-166.
- Li, P., Wu, J. and Qian, H. 2012. Groundwater quality assessment based on rough sets attribute reduction and TOPSIS method in a semi-arid area, China. *Environ Monit Assess.* 184 : 4841-4854.
- Li, P., Wu, J., Tian, R., He, S., He, X., Xue, C. and Zhang, K. 2018. Geochemistry, hydraulic connectivity and quality appraisal ofmultilayered groundwater in the Hongdunzi coal mine, Northwest China. *Mine Water Environ.* 37 : 222-237.
- Loizidou, M. and Kapetanious, E.G. 1993. Effect of leachate from landfills on underground water quality. *Sci Total Environ*. 128 : 69-81.
- Min, J.H., Yun, S.T., Kim, K. Kim, H.S. and Kim, D.J. 2003. Geologic controls on the chemical behavior of nitrate in riverside alluvial aquifers, Korea. *Hydrol Process.* 17 : 1197-1211.
- Mor, S., Ravindra, K., Dahiya, R.P. and Chandra, A. 2006. Leachate characterization and assessment of groundwater pollution near municipal solid waste landfill site. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.* 118 : 435-456.
- Murkute, Y.A. and Badhan, P.P. 2011. Fluoride Contamination in Groundwater from Bhadravati Tehsil, Chandrapur District, Maharashtra. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*. 10(2) : 255-260.
- Murkute, Y.A. 2014. Hydrogeochemical characterization and quality assessment of groundwater around Umrer Coal Mine area, Nagpur District, Maharashtra, India. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 72 : 4059-4073.
- Murkute, Y.A. 2022. Major ion chemistry and assessment of groundwater quality around Gangpur Village, Nagpur District, Maharashtra, India. *Jour. Geosci. Res.* 7(1) : 112-120.
- Piper, A.M. 1953. A graphical procedure in the Geochemical Interpretation of water analyses. *Am. Geophy. Union, Trans.* 25 : 914-923.
- Ramesh, K. and Elango, L. 2011. Groundwater quality and its suitability for domestic and agricultural use in Tondiar river basin, Tamil Nadu, India. *Environ Monit Assess.* 184 : 3887-3899.

- Ravikumar, P., Venkatesharaju, K., Prakash, K.L. and Somashekhar, R.K. 2010. Geochemistry of groundwater and groundwater prospects evaluation, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore urban district, Karnataka, India. *Environ Monit Asess*. DOI 10.1007/s10661-010-1721-z.
- Si, J., Feng, Q., Wen, X., Su, Y., Xi, H. and Chang, Z. 2009. Major ion chemistry of groundwater in the extreme arid region northwest China. *Environmental Geology*. 57 : 1079-1087.
- Simsek, C. and Gunduz, O. 2007. IWQ index: a GISintegrated technique to assess irrigation water quality. *Environ Monit Assess.* 128 : 277-300.
- Singh, G., Rishi, M.S., Herojeet, R., Kaur, L. and Sharma, K. 2019. Evaluation of groundwater quality and human health risks from fluoride and nitrate in semiarid region of northern India. *Environ Geochem Health*. 6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-019-00449-6
- Sreedevi, P.D., Sreekanth, P.D., Ahmed, S. and Reddy, D.V. 2018. Appraisal of groundwater quality in a crystalline aquifer: A chemometric approach. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s12517-018-3480-z.
- Subba Rao, N. 2002. Geochemistry of groundwater in parts of Guntur district, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Environ Geology.* 41: 552-562.
- Subba Rao, N. and Chaudhary, M. 2019. Hydrogeochemical processes regulating the spatial distribution of groundwater contamination, using pollution index of groundwater (PIG) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA): A case study. *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*. https:/ /doi. org/10.1016/j.gsd.2019.100238.
- Tay, C. K., Hayford, E.K. and Hodgsoni, I.O.A. 2017. Application of multivariate statistical technique for hydrogeochemical assessment of groundwater within the Lower Pra Basin, Ghana. *Applied Water Science*. 7 : 1131-11150.
- Thilagavathi, N., Subramani, T., Suresh, M. and Karunanidhi, D. 2015. Mapping of groundwater potential zones in Salem Chalk Hills, Tamil Nadu, India, using remote sensing and GIS techniques. *Environ Monit Assess*. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10661-015-4376-y.
- Thivya, C., Chidambaram, S., Thilagavathi, R.,

Venkatraman, Ganesh, N., Panda, B. and Prasanna, M.V. 2018. Short-term periodic observation of the relationship of climate variables to groundwater quality along the KT boundary. *J Clim Chang.* 4 : 77-86.

- Todd, D.K. 1995. *Groundwater Hydrology*. John Wiley and Sons, Singapore New York Chichester Brisbane Toronto
- US Geological Survey, 2000. Classification of natural ponds and lakes. Washington, DC:U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey.
- US Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954. Diagnosis and improvements of saline and alkali soils (p. 160). US Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 60, USDA.
- Wang, L., Mei, Y. and Yu, K. 2019. Anthropogenic effects on hydrogeochemical characterization of the shallow groundwater in an arid irrigated plain in northwestern China. *Water (Switzerland)* 11 : 11.https://doi.org/10.3390/w11112247
- WHO, 1997. The guideline for drinking water quality, health criteria and other supporting information. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- WHO, 2011. Guidelines for drinking-water quality 216. World Health Organization, pp 303-304.
- Wu, J. and Sun, Z. 2016. Evaluation of shallow groundwater contamination and associated human health risk in an alluvial plain impacted by agricultural and industrial activities, mid-west China. *Expo Health.* 8(3) : 311-329.
- Wu, J., Li, P. and Qian, H. 2015. Hydrochemical characterization of drinking groundwater with special reference to fluoride in an arid area of China and the control of aquifer leakage on its concentrations. *Environ Earth Sci.* 73 : 8575-8588.
- Xu, Y., Dai, S., Meng, K., Wang, Y., Ren, W., Zhao, L., Christie, P. and Teng, Y. 2018. Occurrence and risk assessment of potentially toxic elements and typical organic pollutants in contaminated rural soils. *Sci Total Environ*. 630 : 618-629.
- Zhou, Y., Li, P., Xue, L., Dong, Z. and Li, D. 2020. Solute geochemistry and groundwater quality for drinking and irrigation purposes: a case study in Xinle City, North China. *Geochemistry*. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.chemer.2020.125609.